

UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes June 18-19, 1976

06-18-1976

Pages 119-149

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

June 18, 1976

The Board of Regents met on the above date in the Teacher Education building, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.

Members present: Fred M. Anderson, M. D.

Mr. James L. Buchanan II

Mr. John A. Buchanan

Mrs. Lilly Fong

Mrs. Molly Knudtsen

Miss Brenda Mason

Mr. John Tom Ross

Miss Helen Thompson

Members absent: Louis E. Lombardi, M. D.

Others present: Chancellor Neil D. Humphrey

President Max Milam, UNR

President Lloyd P. Smith, DRI

Dr. Ramon La Grandeur, CCD

Dr. Arthur Gentile, UNLV

Mr. Procter Hug, Jr., General Counsel

Mrs. Linda Chambers, UNR Faculty Senate

Miss Delia Martinez, Unit Senate

Mr. Robert Rose, WNCC (North) Senate

Mr. Bob Hill, WNCC (South) Senate

Dr. Henry Sciallo, UNLV Senate

Mr. Jim Stone, ASUN, UNR

Mr. Dan Russell, CSUN, UNLV

Mr. Robert Sheppard, ASB, CCCC

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Buchanan at 9:30 A.M.

1. Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the regular session of May 14, 1976 were submitted for approval with the following additions:

- (1) The following fund transfers were approved for UNR but were omitted from the minutes:

#423 \$150 to the Art Department to provide operating funds to complete several work study projects.

#424 \$165,000 to Buildings and Grounds Operating to provide sufficient operating funds for the remainder of the fiscal year.

#432 \$20,280 to the College of Engineering Equipment Account to be used to establish a 12-student-station electronics laboratory and to purchase two miniprocessors for the Engineering Technology Department.

#434 \$7,000 to the President's Office to be used to settle a legal claim against the University.

#437 \$1,308 to the Mathematics Department to provide compensation for Summer work related to updating the Mathematics television teaching films.

(2) Mrs. Fong requested that the minutes reflect that she had filed petitions containing approximately 1,000 names, and numerous letters, in support of the Charles-

ton Campus as the first priority for capital improvements for CCD for the next biennium.

- (3) Mrs. Linda Chambers, UNR Faculty Senate, requested that the minutes reflect that the UNR Senate had unanimously endorsed the concept of separate units for UNR and UNLV for collective bargaining purposes.

Mrs. Fong moved approval of the minutes with the above additions. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried without dissent.

Upon motion by Mrs. Fong, seconded by Miss Mason and unanimous vote, two additional items were admitted to the agenda.

2. Gifts and Grants

Acceptance of the gifts and grants included with the agenda was recommended (report identified as Ref. 2 and filed with permanent minutes).

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried without dissent.

3. Report of Investment Advisory Committee

The minutes of the Investment Advisory Committee meeting of May 14, 1976 were included with the agenda (identified as Ref. 3 and filed with permanent minutes).

Mrs. Knudtsen moved that the actions of the Committee be confirmed. Motion seconded by Mr. John Buchanan, carried without dissent.

4. Bid Opening, Solar Research Building, DRI

Bids were opened June 16, 1976 for construction of a Solar Research building in Boulder City. The bid tabulation is as follows:

	Base Bid	Alternate A	Alternate B
--	----------	-------------	-------------

Grove Incorporated	\$349,494	\$4,646	\$10,100
Sunrise Construction Co.	351,000	5,200	11,000
Blanchard Construction Co.	352,950	4,975	10,780
Sletten Construction Co.	355,300	4,500	10,500
Claude Cook Construction Co.	364,970	5,000	11,000
Empire Construction Co.	365,955	5,060	10,972

Alternate A - Install quarry tile.

Alternate B - Install planting and irrigation system.

Dr. Smith noted that the project has a total budget of \$370,000, provided by an appropriation from State General Fund. Of the total, \$33,140 is budgeted for professional services, \$10,000 for inspection and testing, \$21,850 for miscellaneous costs, and \$300,000 for construction, leaving an unobligated balance of \$5,015. Dr. Smith noted that the low bid exceeds the estimate by roughly 18%; therefore, none of the bids is negotiable since State law requires that any bid more than 10% over the project budget must be rejected. Accordingly, the State Public Works Board intends to reject all bids, revise the bidding documents and rebid the project as soon as possible.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved that an allocation be provided from the Board of Regents Special Project Fund to make up the difference between the construction budget and the low bid, if such augmentation is permissible. Motion seconded by Mr. Ross, carried without dissent.

It was agreed that this procedure would be discussed with

the State Public Works Board and further information would be available to the Board later in the meeting.

5. DRI Contingency Reserve

President Smith requested authorization to increase the loan from the DRI Contingency Reserve to the Telephone Clearing Account from \$900 to \$2,000. The present balance of the DRI Contingency Reserve is \$173,414.94. Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Miss Mason moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. John Buchanan, carried without dissent.

6. Amendment of DRI Bylaws

President Smith recalled that title changes for Center Heads had been approved at the April, 1976 meeting with the understanding that amendments to the DRI Bylaws necessary to accommodate these title changes would be submitted to a subsequent meeting. He noted the inclusion with the agenda of the proposed amendments (identified as Ref. 6 and filed with permanent minutes) and requested approval by the Board. Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval, with substitution of the word "abolition" for "abolishment" in Section 1.2.1(b). Motion seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent.

7. Teaching of Writing

At the request of Regent Fong, Dr. Herman Van Betten, UNLV faculty member and a member of the Clark County School Board, presented A Status Report on the Teaching of Writing from the Elementary School through the University in Clark County. (Transcript of Dr. Van Betten's statement on file in Chancellor's Office.)

In the discussion following, Mr. Ross expressed his appreciation to Dr. Van Betten for his presentation and for his dedication to the teaching of writing, particularly noting that Dr. Van Betten is active in the public schools where, he suggested, the remedy to the problem identified by Dr. Van Betten should begin.

8. Revision of Estimative Budgets, UNLV

Dr. Gentile presented a request by President Baepler for

approval of the following amendment to the Audio Visual Sales budget, noting that the increased revenue comes primarily from an agreement with the State Department of Rehabilitation for the employment of a worker partly funded by that agency.

	Requested	Revised	
	Budget	Change	Budget
Revenue			
Opening Cash Balance	\$ 400	\$	\$ 400
Sales and Service	17,800	5,000	22,800
Total	\$18,200	\$5,000	\$23,200
Expenditures			
Wages	\$ 4,132	\$1,350	\$ 5,482
Professional Salaries	250		250
Fringe Benefits	518	200	718
Out-of-State Travel	470		470
Operating	12,830	2,000	14,830
Ending Fund Balance		1,450	1,450
Total	\$18,200	\$5,000	\$23,200

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. John

Buchanan, carried without dissent.

9. Approval of Fund Transfers, UNLV

Dr. Gentile requested approval of the following transfers
from the ending fund balance of estimative budget accounts:

#76-193 \$3,000 to Special Music Lessons, Wages to provide
additional funds for the remainder of the fiscal
year.

#76-194 \$15,000 to Student Union, Wages to provide addi-
tional funds for the remainder of the fiscal year.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Miss Thompson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong,
carried without dissent.

10. Life Sciences Building, UNLV

Dr. Gentile presented a request by President Baepler for
amendment of the construction budget for the Life Sciences
building to add \$15,000 for landscaping. Chancellor

Humphrey recommended approval.

Miss Thompson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong, carried without dissent.

11. Request for Right-of-Way

Dr. Gentile reported that the Nevada Power Company is requesting a 20 x 15 foot right-of-way grant for the replacement of a wooden power pole with a steel pole on Maryland Parkway, northeast of Grant Hall and at the edge of the parking lot between Grant Hall and the Judy Bayley Theatre.

A right-of-way for down guy wires on the current wooden pole to be abandoned. Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried without dissent.

12. College of Education

At the request of Regent Fong for information concerning the number of teachers being graduated from UNLV and the employment possibilities for these graduates, a report prepared by the UNLV College of Education was distributed with the agen-

da (report on file in the Chancellor's Office).

Subsequent to the discussion on the above report, the Board discussed the following status report filed by President Baepler on the Cooperative Ed. D. Program:

At a meeting held in Chancellor Neil Humphrey's office on June 2 involving the Chancellor, the two University Presidents, and the two Deans of the Colleges of Education, the various problems associated with the cooperative nature of the doctorate in Education were discussed and a course of action for the coming Summer Session was determined.

At UNLV there are currently approximately 17 students who are ready to implement that portion of their doctoral programs which would involve going to the Reno Campus to take 12 credits. Only one student from the Reno Campus is presently ready to come to the Las Vegas Campus, but it is anticipated that by next Summer there will be a significant number of students from the Reno Campus who would be ready to come to the Las Vegas Campus. In analyzing the programs of the students scheduled to go to Reno, the following kinds of problems become apparent:

A very small minority of the students (2) have completed their total coursework prior to the time when they would attend the Reno Summer Session. Proper counseling could have avoided this problem, and in the future, counselors must be aware of the possibility of this problem recurring. Basically, it involves a student that transfers 16 credits into the program and then who goes to school full time during the Summer, Fall and Spring semesters. The second problem is that by the time a student nears the completion of the formal coursework leading to the doctorate, it is difficult to schedule bona fide courses to complete the requirements of the student's program. Thus we find that in order to provide 12 credits for some of our students, Reno was forced into a position of asking these students to take credits in activities such as individual studies, directed readings, and field studies, which in most instances minimizes the value of an intercampus exchange. We therefore resolved for this coming Summer to be as flexible as possible in meeting the students' problems and to treat each student as an individual situation and to structure each student's program to best meet the academic needs of the student. We find, therefore, that approximately one-half of the students will be in a position to go to Reno and to take the full 12 credits that their program

calls for. The other half are in a position where they can go to Reno and take 6 credits of bona fide coursework and then will return to the Las Vegas Campus to pursue the remaining 6 credits. It may well be that next Summer UNLV will find itself in a situation where it will not be able to provide a bona fide 12 credits of coursework for each of the Reno students, and the same individual flexibility will be provided to meet the academic needs of the Reno students.

We further recommend that communication between the two Colleges of Education be improved, specifically in that courses projected to be taught on each Campus during the Summer Sessions should be known to both faculties approximately a year in advance of each Summer Session so that appropriate counseling and scheduling can be provided each of the doctoral students. There should be earlier transmittal of student records from one institution to the other so that each faculty can become aware of the backgrounds and course needs of the students enrolled on the other Campus. Finally, it is suggested that each Campus should analyze the fundamental aspects of the cooperative program leading to the doctorate in Education to determine whether any changes should be recommended in the degree requirements, exploring the possibility of each Campus offering

its independent degree. If the latter course is recommended, it would then be necessary for each College to develop a format for the doctoral program and to submit it to such internal review as each Campus calls for before presenting it to the Board of Regents for ultimate adoption.

In any event, it is felt that through better cooperation and closer communication, particularly with schedule planning on an advanced basis, it will be possible to preserve the elements of the cooperative program for most of the students currently enrolled at UNR and UNLV. Where impasses are reached, however, and based on the academic merits of each situation, flexibility will be maintained to further the better interests of the student.

Chancellor Humphrey recalled how the doctorate in Education had started, noting that both Campuses had advanced proposals through Phase II, but which were low in priority on each Campus. A legislative solution had been passed in the 1975 Session asking the Board of Regents to speed up the process whereby the doctorate in Education could be implemented and urging that it be cooperative between the two Campuses and that it be largely self-supporting. He recalled that the faculties of the two Colleges of Education and the faculties

of the two Universities had agreed that the cooperative program could be jumped ahead of the other pending programs.

Subsequently, a specific degree proposal had been developed, encompassing the cooperative feature and the self-supporting requirement, and was approved by the Colleges of Education and the Division faculties, and endorsed by the Presidents and Chancellor and approved by the Board of Regents.

In response to statements that the requirement to spend a Summer on the other Campus was causing undue hardship on the students, Mr. Ross suggested that the whole matter be discussed in its proper perspective, pointing out that spending a Summer on the "away" Campus is not all that inconvenient or costly when compared with the traditional doctoral program which frequently requires the student to take a leave of absence for an extended period and establish residence in another state. He also stated that, in his opinion, this degree is highly coveted and the student should have the experience and exposure to different faculties and resources such as libraries. He pointed out that the program is designed to use the strengths of both Campuses and such a cooperative venture should not be abandoned prematurely.

Chancellor Humphrey urged that no further action be taken on

this matter at this time. He pointed out that a request has been made to the two Colleges to review the program and come back in the Fall with a report, either recommending continuation of the program with the deficiencies corrected, or recommending that new and separate programs be developed. He agreed that the complaints which had been made earlier appear to have been resolved. In response to an inquiry concerning the waiver of the residency requirement for two individuals, Chancellor Humphrey stated that he did not agree with the waivers being approved but strongly supported the right of the President to make the exceptions.

Mr. Ross moved that the matter be tabled until Fall or until such time as the review of the program is completed. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried without dissent.

13. Bid Opening CCCC, Phase III

Dr. La Grandeur reported that bids were opened June 3, 1976 for equipment for the TV Studio, Kitchen, Snack Bar and Shop for Phase III of CCCC. This project has a total budget of \$443,000 of which \$408,900 is for installation and equipment, with the following work included in the bid:

(1) Exhaust hoods, makeup air units, procurement and installation of all food preparation equipment in the kitchen and snack bar areas of the Culinary Arts Program.

(2) Lighting, lighting control and distribution equipment, necessary outlets, and sound control devices in the TV Studio and Theatre Arts Room.

(3) Procurement and installation of equipment in the Automotive Shop.

Dr. La Grandeur reported the following:

Blanchard Construction Company	\$391,995
Claude Cook Construction Company	371,547
Corrao Construction	354,813

Dr. La Grandeur recommended that the Board concur in the award by the State Public Works Board of a contract to Corrao Construction Company for \$354,813. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Miss Thompson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong,

carried without dissent.

14. Amendment to Code Regarding Tenure

Chancellor Humphrey reviewed the sequence of action concerning this matter, beginning with the adoption by the 1975 Legislature of legislation directing the Board of Regents to establish a regulation providing for probation and "fair dismissal" for CCD faculty. Accordingly, in November, 1975 it was recommended by President Donnelly and Chancellor Humphrey that the statute be complied with by adding CCD to the existing tenure provisions applicable to UNR and UNLV faculty. However, this was objected to by CCD Faculty Senates, and the Board requested the Administration and the CCD Senate representatives to attempt the development of a mutually satisfactory proposal.

The three CCD Senates, the three CCD Executive Vice Presidents, President Donnelly and Chancellor Humphrey recommended a compromise solution to the Board at the May meeting. This compromise represented consensus except that there was disagreement among this group concerning whether or not legal counsel should be allowed to accompany a faculty member attending an administrative review of non-reem-

ployment of a probationary faculty member. At the May meeting, Counsel Hug made suggestions for several changes and the matter was tabled for one month to allow for consideration of these suggestions.

Chancellor Humphrey reported that Mr. Hug's suggestions have now been incorporated and proposed amendments to Chapters 3 and 4 of the Code were now ready for Board consideration and action (identified as Ref. 14 and filed with permanent minutes). Dr. Humphrey stated that the difference of opinion concerning counsel at administrative review proceedings remains and the alternative wording to Section 3.6.2 is identified as Alternatives A and B.

Dr. La Grandeur spoke in support of the proposed amendments and specifically in support of Alternative B to Section 3.6.2. Executive Vice Presidents Berg and Nicholson concurred.

Mr. Bob Hill, Chairperson, College Senate WNCC South Campus, made the following statement:

The College Senate of the South Campus, Western Nevada Community College, endorses the continuing contract

proposal presented by the Chancellor.

We feel the Chancellor, the Division President, and faculty representatives have made a good faith effort to draft a proposal within the parameters set forth by the Nevada State Legislature.

The College Senate of the South Campus, Western Nevada Community College, feels further delay caused by differences over the "Rose Alternative" is not in the best interest of the Community Colleges. We, therefore, urge adoption of the Chancellor's good faith proposal.

Mr. Robert Rose, WNCC Faculty Senate Chairperson, read the following letter from Mr. Harvey Allen, CCCC:

The faculty of Clark County Community College has taken a stand to support Alternative "A" which provides for the probationary employee the opportunity to bring legal counsel to the administrative review with the President of the Community College Division.

Mr. Rose also spoke in support of Alternative A. Upon question from Mr. Ross, Counsel Hug confirmed that the adminis-

trative review is the last step within the University System for the probationary employee. Mr. Ross stated that, in his opinion, the probationary employee should be allowed to have counsel present at an administrative review, if he wishes.

Mr. Ross moved approval of Alternative A to Section 3.6.2.

Motion seconded by Miss Mason, failed by the following roll call vote:

No - Dr. Anderson, Mr. John Buchanan, Mrs. Knudtsen,
Miss Thompson

Yes - Mrs. Fong, Miss Mason, Mr. Ross, Mr. James
Buchanan

(Note: Five votes are required to carry an issue.)

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval of Alternative B. Motion seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried with the following roll call vote:

Yes - Dr. Anderson, Mrs. Knudtsen, Mr. Ross, Miss
Thompson, Mr. James Buchanan

No - Mr. John Buchanan, Mrs. Fong, Miss Mason

Mr. John Buchanan asked that the record reflect that his

negative vote was due to his belief that the present economic security provisions for CCD faculty are more than adequate and, in fact, more effective than tenure.

Mr. Ross moved approval of the proposed Code amendment with Alternative B to Section 3.6.2.

Chancellor Humphrey urged Board approval, noting that the Legislature has asked the Board to adopt economic security provisions for CCD faculty and pointed out that this proposed amendment is very similar to the tenure provisions for UNR and UNLV.

Motion to approve seconded by Dr. Anderson, with the qualifying statement that he strenuously objected to the Legislature attempting to direct the Board in this manner and especially to the interference of an individual Legislator at a previous Board meeting.

Mr. Hug suggested an editorial change in Section 3.6.1. to change the first sentence to read: "All full-time CCD faculty appointments will be probationary until notification of appointment to continuing contract (tenure) is sent in writing by the President to the appointee."

Mr. Ross moved amendment to approve the editorial change.

Motion to amend seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried with Mr.

John Buchanan opposing. Mr. Buchanan again explained that

his negative vote was in opposition to the concept of ten-

ure. Amended motion carried with the following roll call

vote:

No - Dr. Anderson, Mr. John Buchanan, Mrs. Fong

Yes - Mrs. Knudtsen, Miss Mason, Mr. Ross, Miss

Thompson, Mr. James Buchanan

In casting the above votes, the following explanations were

made for the record:

Dr. Anderson:

With the belief and expectation that it would pass any-

way, and with the firm belief that there should be job

security, I am going to vote no just as protest to the

Legislature interfering with the internal affairs of

the University.

Mr. John Buchanan:

For the reasons previously stated, and in addition to the fact that I agree with Dr. Anderson on the matter of Legislative interference.

Mrs. Fong:

I also vote no because I don't like further Legislative encroachment.

Mrs. Knudtsen:

I vote yes, not because I favor Legislative encroachment, but because the Administration in their wisdom have urged us to accept this and I respect their reasons, even though I don't like what the Legislature has done.

Mr. Ross:

I don't think we should consider the Legislative action one way or the other. This is something we have instituted, and we have had study groups from all facets of the University that have worked hard on this and I

don't believe the Legislature enters into it one way or the other. I hate to see job security for our Community College System sacrificed because of Legislative action.

15. Associate in Applied Science (Nursing), NNCC

Dr. La Grandeur requested approval of the Phase I proposal (enclosed with the agenda and on file in the Chancellor's Office) to expand the present LPN program at NNCC to an Associate in Applied Science degree which would enable the student to apply for the R. N. license.

Executive Vice President Berg and Mrs. Delna Day, Director of Nursing at NNCC, spoke in support of the proposal.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that authorization be granted to proceed to Phase II, noting that by the time the Phase II presentation is completed, the Ad Hoc Health Manpower Planning Group will have finished its report on a health education plan for UNS.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval. Motion seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent.

16. 1976 Artemisia, UNR

At the request of several Regents, the Chair ordered the item concerning the 1976 Artemisia moved from the Information to the Action Agenda.

President Milam commented on the responses which had been received upon distribution of the 1976 Artemisia, noting that the Administration and student government leaders have been working very hard in an attempt to insure that the students are more fairly represented in the publication of the Artemisia that will appear next year, and to assure that this kind of event will not recur.

Jim Stone, ASUN President, also commented on the Artemisia, noting his concern and his appreciation of the concern of the Regents. He noted that although he did not personally like the book, apparently many of the other students did. He requested the Board to allow a little more time for the students to work out the problem, reporting that contracts with the editors are being rewritten and student government is in the process of rewriting the Bylaws which govern the publications. They are also, he stated, in the process of looking at a constitutional amendment to make the Bylaws

enforceable. Mr. Stone stated that it is intended to specify in the contract with the Artemisia editor what will be expected from the next publication.

Mrs. Knudtsen asked if there had been any consideration given to establishing an editorial board. Mr. Stone stated that this had not been discussed with the full executive council but preliminary discussions with the editors had drawn negative responses.

Mrs. Knudtsen suggested there be some consideration given to the potential audience of University publications, noting that not only students but alumni and other members of the general public are among the readers. Mr. Stone agreed that the Artemisia should not be offensive to the general public but pointed out that it is paid for with student fees and is printed for the students. Mr. Stone also noted that ASUN is the publisher and will stipulate in the new contracts that the Publications Board will approve every layout on every page of the future issues of the Artemisia.

President Milam spoke of the restrictions contained in the Student Bill of Rights against censorship by the administration of student publications. It does not, however, he

pointed out, place restrictions on ASUN in its role as publisher to establish editorial policy.

Mr. Stone agreed, stating that ASUN does view itself as publisher and intends to exercise its right as publisher to establish and control editorial policy of its publications.

Dr. Anderson suggested that the discussion was perhaps too narrow, concentrating on the 1976 Artemisia when there had been a history through the years of objectionable publications. He suggested that one Division or one publication not be singled out, but, at the same time, the Board should not be unresponsive to complaints which had been received.

Dr. Anderson moved that student body officers, and other appropriate persons in all Divisions concerned with student government and student publications prepare reports to be brought back to the Board on how these publications are supervised, how they are financed, together with a plan for procedures that will attempt to maintain them as acceptable to the student body at large and also not be offensive to alumni and the public. Motion seconded by Mr. John Buchanan.

In response to a question from Miss Thompson, Chancellor Humphrey stated that it was his opinion that the only way the Board of Regents could control student publications would be to change the funding through action approving the ASUN budget. Dr. Anderson suggested that the students be first allowed an opportunity to resolve this problem on their own.

Mr. Stone agreed that this would be what the students would wish and noted that changes to the Bylaws and to the contracts would be completed by the July meeting if the Board wished to review them. President Milam noted that the current student government has been quite responsive to the problem and he stated that he was pleased with the evidence of their concern.

Mr. Ross suggested that the complaints concerning the 1976 Artemisia could be divided into two separate categories:

- (1) A complaint that the publication produced was not a Yearbook;
- (2) The publication is in poor taste -- it has gotten away from the students and has become an ego trip for the

editor.

Mr. Ross agreed that ASUN needs to regain control of its publications and believed they were proceeding correctly.

He stated that he strongly objected to any inference of censorship being drawn from the expressed concerns of the Regents.

Mrs. Knudtsen suggested deferring action on Dr. Anderson's motion until after the students have made their report to the July meeting. Dr. Anderson disagreed, pointing out that the reports requested may conceivably take some time to formulate and prepare, and also noting that his request is made of all Divisions, not just UNR.

Mr. Stone stated that he disliked seeing the entire System punished because of the transgressions of one Division. Dr. Anderson denied that his motion was intended to be punitive, noting that he is requesting the student government to define its relationship to the publications it sponsors and to develop policy guidelines for the editorial content of those publications.

Dave Kelly, who identified himself as former editor of the

Rebel Yell, suggested that the Board of Regents would find itself on some shaky legal grounds by this action. He suggested that whether the attempt to control comes from the Administration or from the student government itself makes no difference. In either of these instances, where an editor is prepared to fight, the editor can win. Mr. Kelly also suggested that just because a publication is bad does not give any government body the right to step in. Freedom of the press, he said, means an editor has the freedom to be bad as well as good. The publication can only reflect the viewpoint and the ideas of the editor.

Mr. Stone pointed out that Mr. Kelly was not speaking for ASUN, noting that any publisher has the right to fire an editor, the publisher has the right to set editorial policy and if the editor does not like the editorial policy established by the publisher, he is under no obligation to continue as editor.

Motion carried with the following roll call vote:

Yes - Dr. Anderson, Mr. John Buchanan, Mrs. Fong, Miss

Mason, Mr. Ross

No - Mrs. Knudtsen, Miss Thompson, Mr. James Buchanan

17. Bid Opening, Central Management System, UNR

President Milam reported that bids were opened June 15, 1976 by the State Public Works Board for a Campus-wide automated utility control system at the existing Central Heat Plant for the purpose of monitoring and maintaining information on all existing and future buildings on the Campus connected to the High Temperature Hot Water Distribution System. The construction budget for the project is \$409,500. A tabulation of the three bids received is as follows:

Bidder	Base	Alt	Alt	Alt	Alt
	Bid	A	B	C	D
Johnson Controls	\$392,400	\$30,800	\$28,000	\$15,000	\$31,470
Honeywell Inc.	415,700	45,600	29,450	5,504	11,559
Powers Regulator	452,000	39,991	28,874	14,900	25,800

Alternate A - Extend system to serve Nye, Juniper, Lincoln, Manzanita and White Pine Halls.

Alternate B - Extend system to serve greenhouses, Palmer Engineering, Morrill Hall, Mackay Science and Humanities building.

Alternate C - Provide a 24 month service and maintenance contract in lieu of 12 month contract.

Alternate D - Provide a 36 month service and maintenance contract in lieu of 12 month contract.

President Milam reported that the State Public Works Board has recommended awarding a contract to Johnson Controls in the amount of \$423,200 for the base bid and Alternate A, noting that the slight increase in the construction budget is made possible through the transfer of available supplementary State General Funds authorized in 1975.

President Milam and Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the Board of Regents concur in the award by the State Public Works Board of a contract to Johnson Controls for \$423,200.

Miss Thompson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong, carried without dissent.

18. Lombardi Recreation Building, UNR

At the request of Regent John Buchanan, President Milam pre-

sented a report concerning the Lombardi Recreating building (identified as Ref. 17 and filed with permanent minutes).

Mr. John Buchanan voiced concern with the number of problems that arise during and after the completion of the construction of University projects. He cited, in particular, the location of the expansion joints at the handball courts of the Physical Education Complex, and the fact that the McDermott fund had to pay for the necessary corrections. He stated that one might question the competence of the various parties to the contracts, i. e., the architect, the State Public Works Board, the painting problems in the aluminum pools, and questioned the wisdom of approving such a pool for UNR when previous experience had shown it to be a problem. Further problems were cited, such as buckling walls at the gymnasium of the UNR Lombardi Recreation building.

Mr. Buchanan suggested more thorough review of contract documents prior to Board approval, as well as urging the State Public Works Board to fulfill its obligation in order to avoid necessary costs and loss of time and money.

The question arose concerning the extent of the consulting project architect's follow-through on each project, during

and after construction, as well as the extent of responsibility for potential errors in design. Regent Anderson wondered whether the architect's agreement for services should be extended to include clauses regarding these responsibilities. Regent Fong asked whether there were sufficient personnel at the job site to oversee the work.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that the project architect has the responsibility to administer the contract, the State Public Works Board has a full-time inspector during construction, and the University architect visits the site in order to determine whether the educational program is being met by the project construction.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the University architect review the Regents' comments with the Secretary-Manager of the State Public Works Board, Bill Hancock, in order to develop a statement that addresses itself to these problems.

19. Students' Optional Insurance, UNR

President Milam presented the following report and recommendation from Dean Roberta Barnes for a student health and accident insurance program:

The optional student health and accident insurance program underwritten by Puritan Life Insurance Company which has been in effect for the past two years expires at the end of the current academic year. The new requirements imposed by Title IX and the unwillingness on the part of Puritan Life to continue to underwrite our policy for a reasonable premium made it necessary for us to solicit new bids. A report on the process which was followed from Dr. Robert Locke, Director of the Student Health Service, is attached, and the results are summarized below.

Annual	Student,
Premium: Student	Spouse Student
Student	and and and All
Only	Spouse Children Children

World Book Life

Insurance Co.	* \$38.00	\$ 90.30	\$150.50	\$136.15
---------------	-----------	----------	----------	----------

With Maternity

Benefits	** 45.00	100.80	161.00	108.20
----------	----------	--------	--------	--------

General American	** 43.00	91.00	151.00	107.50
------------------	----------	-------	--------	--------

Life Insurance Co.:

Includes "meeting

requirements of

Title IX". ***

With Maternity

Benefits	**	44.00	95.00	156.00	108.00
----------	----	-------	-------	--------	--------

* Two-year guaranteed rate = \$40 per year

** Rates guaranteed for two years

*** Optional maternity benefits \$55 per year

Both policies provide basically the same coverage as our current policy with the following exceptions:

1. The \$1,000 accidental death and dismemberment feature is eliminated.
2. Out-patient diagnostic x-ray and lab work done at the request of Student Health Service (to \$25 per illness) is eliminated.
3. Consultation expense (to \$30) when approved and requested by the Student Health Service is eliminated.

With these modifications, World Book Insurance Company would provide student insurance for an annual premium of \$38 per student. With maternity benefits included (pregnancy and pregnancy-related illnesses covered on the same basis as any other illness), the annual premium would be \$45 per student.

General American Life Insurance offered to provide the same basic coverage and meet the requirements of Title IX when these requirements are officially established for an annual premium of \$43. Under this plan, a student would have the option of obtaining maternity benefits as described below for an additional \$55 per year.

Maternity Coverage - Optional

Will also be made available on a purely optional basis for married students. If such coverage is desired, the insured married student must purchase the maternity coverage at the same time as when application is made for the basic plan. The schedule of coverage is up to \$50.00 for miscarriage, up to \$100.00 for normal delivery, and up to \$150.00 for Caesarean delivery. Maternity benefits are applicable commencing nine (9) months

after the effective date of insured's insurance, but continuing for a period of nine (9) months after the termination date of the policy.

This appeared to be the most desirable bid in that the University would be in compliance with Title IX when the exact requirements of the law are established. The disadvantages of this plan are:

1. The maternity benefits are minimal, particularly for an annual premium of \$55.
2. Uncertainty would exist about the coverage provided until the exact requirements of Title IX have been established.

The second bid presented by General American Life Insurance, \$44 per student per year, includes maternity benefits. Under this plan, pregnancy and pregnancy-related illnesses would be covered in the same manner as any other illness. For example, hospital room and board would be covered up to a maximum of \$55 per day. Under this plan students would receive better coverage, and the scope of coverage would be stated in unambiguous terms. According to legal counsel,

in insurance programs sponsored by the University, pregnancy and pregnancy-related illnesses should be covered in the same manner as any other illness in order for the University to be in compliance with the Title IX regulations. For these reasons, it is recommended that the University accept the second option presented by General American Life Insurance (\$44 premium) in which maternity benefits are included.

President Milam endorsed the recommendation from Dean Barnes that the General American Life Insurance policy be accepted at \$44 per year premium, with maternity benefits included.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Miss Thompson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent.

20. School of Medical Sciences, UNR

President Milam reported that the 1975-76 budget for the School of Medical Sciences is \$1,309,779, including \$407,610 of nonappropriated monies. He stated that it now appears that \$25,000 of the nonappropriated monies, which was anticipated from the settlement of an estate, will not be received until sometime after June 30. President Milam re-

quested a loan be made to cover the budget, with the loan to be repaid when estate is settled. Chancellor Humphrey concurred and recommended approval of a loan of \$25,000 from the Alice Dimmitt Endowment Income Fund (260-4001-002), which has a current balance of \$29,905.28.

Mr. Ross moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Thompson, carried without dissent.

21. Authority to Sell or Lease Land, UNR

President Milam recalled that in September, 1973 the Board of Regents authorized UNR to offer for sale 4.28 acres of land on the Valley Road Farm which had been separated from the balance of the farm by the construction of Interstate 80. He noted that this land has not been sold and requested authority to offer this property be renewed and authority also be granted to renegotiate a long-term lease as an alternative to selling. Chancellor Humphrey concurred, noting that the Board's approval will be required for the specific terms of a sale or lease, as will the Governor's concurrence.

Miss Thompson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong,

carried without dissent.

22. Approval of Fund Transfers, UNR

President Milam requested approval of the following transfers from the Contingency Reserve:

#440 \$3,200 to the Learning Resources Center, College of Education, Operating, to provide funds for minor remodeling, equipment and audio visual and graphic materials.

#446 \$250 to History Department, Operating, to provide funding for a TOP student during the Summer months.

#453 \$600 to Biology Department, Operating, to provide additional in-state travel money for Departmental field trips.

#459 \$24,380 to Nevada Bureau of Mines, Statewide Programs, to be used for the purchase of two 4-wheel-drive vehicles, and to pay for printing of plastic relief maps of various sections of Nevada.

#460 \$10,000 to Seismological Laboratory for the purchase of a mini-computer which will provide the capability of processing seismic data tapes currently available nationwide.

#461 \$10,500 to the Bureau of Business and Economic Research for the purchase of a word processor and three remote computer terminals.

#462 \$5,400 to Extended Programs and Continuing Education for the purchase of a memory typewriter to be shared by several Departments.

#463 \$1,500 to the Mathematics Department for the purchase of an LSI-11 computer for use in classes taught by this Department.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Thompson, carried without dissent.

President Milam requested approval of the following revision of the State Bank Sales Account, which has generated a larger than anticipated increase in revenue:

	Current	Revision	Revised
	Budget	Requested	Budget
Revenue			
Opening Cash Balance	\$ 1,365	(\$1,365)	\$ 0
Outside Sales	18,000	6,400	24,400
Total	\$19,365	\$5,035	\$24,400

Application of Funds

Professional Salaries	\$ 0	\$1,100	\$ 1,100
Wages	3,300	7,500	10,800
Fringe Benefits	450	550	1,000
Out-of-State Travel	200	1,300	1,500
Operating	15,350	(5,350)	10,000
Ending Fund Balance	65	(65)	0
Total	\$19,365	\$5,035	\$24,400

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Miss Thompson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong, carried without dissent.

24. ASUN Bookstore Funds

President Milam reported that on July 1, 1976 the ASUN Bookstore will begin operation totally in conformity with Counsel's opinion of September 24, 1973, which stated that student body organizations are part of the University and, therefore, their funds are subject to University control.

President Milam requested that a specific exception be approved by the Board, to the provisions of Title 4, Chapter 3, Section 3(4), to allow the ASUN Bookstore to receive the interest from the investment of specific surplus funds:

Fixed Asset Replacement Fund	\$45,904
Bookstore Expansion Fund	25,989
First Federal Savings & Loan - Operating Account	20,000
	\$91,893

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Thompson, carried without dissent.

25. Change in ACT Fee

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that at the May meeting the ACT fee was increased to \$15. This action, he stated, was intended to affect only unscheduled special examinations which are given by the University, and was not intended to change the \$7.50 fee which is assessed by ACT for the scheduled examination. He requested the Board to take action confirming this understanding.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason, carried without dissent.

26. Consolidated Registration Fee

Chancellor Humphrey reported ASUN President Jim Stone had requested reconsideration of the consolidated registration fee adopted at the May meeting so that he might present a request for consideration of a higher fee for graduate students.

Mr. Stone stated that he did not oppose the concept of a consolidated fee but was opposed to the same fee for graduate students as for undergraduate students in that he be-

lieved that the cost of instruction was higher for graduate students and the fee should reflect that fact. Mr. Stone reported that CCCC and UNLV student governments concurred and they believe that the undergraduate students are being asked to subsidize graduate students.

Chancellor Humphrey suggested that the conclusion that the undergraduate students are being asked to subsidize graduate students is invalid, noting that it is the Legislature which is being asked to provide the difference in the cost of instruction. He further noted that \$11 per credit for undergraduates goes to the University's general fund, whereas \$12.80 per credit for graduates goes to the general fund.

Dr. Humphrey recommended that the action taken in May be allowed to stand.

Mr. Ross moved that the Board take no action on this matter at this time. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong, carried without dissent.

27. Use of SAT and ACT Tests

Chancellor Humphrey reported that John Buchanan had proposed amendment of Chapter 10, Title 4, of the Board of Regents

Handbook to provide wherever the American College Test (ACT) is mentioned, the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) also be included and that the SAT also be administered on Campus by each Division.

Included with the supplemental agenda was a report and recommendation from Dean Roberta Barnes that the current policy regarding ACT be retained (identified as Ref. 26 and filed with permanent minutes).

Mr. Buchanan stated that he did not wish to pursue his request that the SAT be administered on Campus, but he did recall his earlier request which had been approved by the Board that the SAT be accepted in substitution of the ACT if the student had already taken the test.

President Milam stated that he preferred that the ACT test be continued and recommended that the policy adopted earlier as recalled by Regent Buchanan, be continued, i. e., that the SAT when already taken, be accepted in lieu of the ACT.

Dr. Robert Glennen, Vice President for Educational Services at UNLV, also spoke in support of the continuance of the "either/or" policy.

It was agreed that no action was required and that the Handbook would be conformed to reflect the Board's earlier action to require that the SAT be accepted in lieu of the ACT when that test had already been taken by the student. The Division catalogs are also to reflect this action.

28. Proposed Code Amendments

Chancellor Humphrey reported that the UNR Faculty Senate had proposed amendments to Sections 1.39, 3.2, and 3.3 of the Code. The proposed amendments were included with the agenda (identified as Ref. 27 and filed with permanent minutes).

By inclusion with the agenda, Chancellor Humphrey noted that the proposed amendments are referred to the other Senates and to the Administration, and action by the Board will be scheduled at the November meeting.

29. Compensation for Nonteaching and Nonresearch Professionals

Chancellor Humphrey commented as follows:

The three salary schedules called for in Section 4.6 of Code apply to all professionals in the University of

Nevada System. These schedules, when revised at least biennially, adequately serve the needs of teaching and research faculty and the institution. It has long been my conclusion, however, that these schedules do not serve the needs of nonteaching, nonresearch professionals. Employees in this latter category should be compensated based not on their rank nor their degrees, but rather on their assignment and how well they do it, modified by a reasonable longevity recognition agreement. I believe that the majority of higher education institutions compensate these professionals in this manner.

Twice in recent years we have approached the problem of implementing a compensation plan for nonteaching, non-research professionals. Considerable work was done both times by the Director of Employment Relations, Office of the Chancellor, assisted by a consultant from the University of California. Both times we stopped short of implementation due to opposition from some of the personnel affected, disagreement as to personnel to be included, and, the second time, due to a challenge from the UNR Faculty Senate concerning whether or not the present wording of the Code would accommodate this plan.

The projections of salaries which will appear in the 1978-79 biennial budget again emphasize this problem.

We have considerable salary compaction in higher salaries, and there are numerous instances of salaries poorly related to assignments. I believe that we must try again to bring about some improvement in this situation.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the Code be amended by adding the following:

Section 4.6.4 Nothing in this section shall be construed as prohibiting the implementation of a non-rank-related compensation plan for nonteaching, nonresearch professionals.

Dr. Humphrey also recommended that a private consulting firm be retained to complete a position evaluation study and make a recommendation for a compensation plan for all nonteaching and nonresearch professionals.

Chancellor Humphrey requested that the suggested Code amendment be referred to the Senates for consideration and action

by the Board scheduled for the October meeting. He estimated the cost of retaining a private firm between \$18,000 - \$23,000, and requested permission to proceed to retain such a consulting firm, noting that part of the cost could be paid from the Chancellor's Office operating budget for 1976-77 and the balance would then be requested from the Board of Regents Special Projects Funds.

Dr. Al Stoess, Director of Employment Relations, commented on the role a consultant would play and what the consultant would be expected to do by way of fulfilling the requirements for such a study.

Dr. Anderson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent.

30. Approval of Fund Transfers

Chancellor Humphrey requested approval of the following fund transfers from the Chancellor's Office Contingency Reserve:

#75-54 \$5,811.23 to Operating to provide for unbudgeted expenses, including the following:

(1) Printing "Taxwise Giving" brochures	\$1,556.92
(2) Oce 3655 Microfiche Reader/Printer	1,570.00
(3) Monroe Electronic Calculator	361.65
(4) Holga Model Shelf Files	395.84
(5) Visible Computer Supply Files	369.55
	\$4,253.96

#75-58 \$1,101.72 to Graduate Assistant Salaries to provide for employment of two graduate assistants for month of June.

#75-60 \$2,400 to Legal Counsel Account to provide for unbudgeted monthly miscellaneous legal expenses.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Thompson, carried without dissent.

31. Intercollegiate Athletics Work Program

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in April the Board adopted a Work Program for 1976-77 with the understanding that the total budget figures for Intercollegiate Athletics at UNR and UNLV were final, but a detailed breakdown of the allocation among the sports and between men and women would be

provided at a subsequent meeting. Accordingly, such a breakdown was included with the agenda (identified as Ref. 30 and filed with permanent minutes), and was recommended for approval by the officers.

Following discussion of the allocation among the sports and the distribution between men and women, Linda Chambers, Vice Chairman of the UNR Faculty Senate, expressed her personal concern with the "inadequate improvement over the previous year" in the allocation of funds to women's athletics.

Dr. Milam agreed that the improvement was not as marked as would be desirable but pointed out that there had been substantial effort made in the 1975-76 Work Program to improve the distribution of funds between men and women, which had left very little flexibility in the second half of the biennium.

Delia Martinez, System Equal Opportunity Officer, read the following excerpt concerning Title IX, from a publication by HEW, Office of Civil Rights:

Section 86.3(c) generally requires that by July 21, 1976 educational institutions (1) carefully evaluate current policies and practices (including those related to the

operation of athletic programs) in terms of compliance with those provisions and (2) where such policies or practices are inconsistent with the regulation, conform current policies and practices to the requirements of the regulation.

An institution's evaluation of its athletic program must include every area of the program covered by the regulation. All sports are to be included in this overall assessment, whether they are contact or noncontact sports.

With respect to athletic programs, Section 86.41(d) sets specific limitations on the attainment of total conformity of institutional policies and practices with the requirements of the regulation -- up to one year for elementary schools and up to three years for all other educational institutions.

The adjustment period is not a waiting period. Institutions must begin now to take whatever steps necessary to ensure full compliance as quickly as possible. Schools may design an approach for achieving full compliance tailored to their own circumstances; however, self-eval-

uation, as required by Section 86.3(c) is a very important step for every institution to assure compliance with the entire Title IX regulation, as well as the athletics provisions.

Miss Martinez reported that by July 21 the self-evaluation will be available for public inspection. She stated that she believed the self-evaluation should then be examined to see where adjustments might be necessary; however, she added, in fairness to the institutions, adjustments should wait until their evaluations are completed.

Miss Thompson asked if Miss Martinez believed that it would be all right for the Regents to approve the ICA budgets before the evaluation is complete. Miss Martinez noted that action must be taken prior to the beginning of the new fiscal year, July 1. She added that she believed that both institutions have made great strides in their attempts to give women more opportunity; however, her personal opinion was that more progress is needed.

Chancellor Humphrey stated that Title IX regulations will be thoroughly reviewed and if sufficient progress toward conformity is determined as not being achieved, additional rec-

ommendations will be made by the Administration.

Miss Thompson moved approval of the Intercollegiate Athletics Work Program as presented. Motion seconded by Mr. Ross, carried without dissent.

32. Joint UNLV-CCCC Radio Station

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in April a document was distributed to the Regents which explained a student proposal for a joint UNLV-CCCC student/government-sponsored radio station. This proposal has been reviewed by President Baepler and President Donnelly, both of whom recommend that the student government associations be allowed to jointly apply to the Federal Communications Commission for a license. Chancellor Humphrey concurred and recommended approval by the Board.

Dr. Anderson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Ross, carried without dissent.

33. Proposed Amendments to Articulation Agreement

Dr. Stoess presented the following amendments as proposed by

the System Articulation Board and endorsed by Presidents

Baepler, Donnelly and Milam:

TITLE 4, CHAPTER 20 - COMMUNITY COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY

ARTICULATION POLICY

Section 3. Transfer Courses

1. A transfer course is one that is acceptable by a receiving Community College or University to apply toward an approved degree program at that institution.
2. All baccalaureate-level courses are transferable. In general, a baccalaureate course is one that is commonly offered by a regionally accredited four-year educational institution as being applicable toward a bachelor's degree.
3. Departmental teaching faculty in each Division are encouraged to establish and maintain informal, direct discussions on all courses designed for transfer to a baccalaureate program prior to the official evaluation request from CCD to the University's Office of Admissions.

Every effort should be made to provide consistency in the acceptance of transfer credit within the University of Nevada System.

4. A maximum of 64 credits is acceptable in transfer from the Community Colleges to the University Divisions.
5. Receiving institutions are not to require transfer students to take examinations to validate credit in Community College courses that are approved as transferable.
6. A common-course level numbering system for all Divisions shall be maintained so that baccalaureate transfer courses are clearly identified for student reference prior to registration. Transferable courses should be reviewed annually preceding publication of the institutional catalog.
7. Each Division should clearly publish the necessary curricular requirements for normal progression toward each major in each degree program.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mr. Ross moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. John Buchanan, carried without dissent.

34. System Policy Concerning Consulting and Outside Employment

Chancellor Humphrey noted that Regent Fong had requested discussion of the policy applicable to faculty having employment or doing consulting outside of the University.

Board policy concerning this is reflected in Title 4, Chapter 4, Section 6 of the Board of Regents Handbook, and was included with the agenda.

Mrs. Fong stated that she was interested in knowing the amount of outside employment a full-time faculty member could have without incurring conflict of interest. Dr.

Gentile stated that, in his opinion, the amount of consulting does not introduce a conflict of interest but rather the type of assignment the faculty member may accept.

Dr. Sciallo suggested that faculty members not be discouraged from doing consulting work, noting that it is a useful and productive way for a faculty member to keep current in his field. He agreed with Dr. Gentile's statement that it

is the type of assignment rather than the amount of time,
and suggested that the important consideration is whether or
not a faculty member meets obligations to the University.

No action was taken on this matter.

35. Frederick H. Williams, Jr. Scholarship, UNR

President Milam noted that for several years there has been
a scholarship account known as the Frederick H. Williams,
Jr. Scholarship. This account has a present balance of
\$1,653.63. Dr. Milam endorsed a request by Dr. Robert Mc
Queen, Chairman of the UNR Scholarships and Prizes Board,
that \$1,500 of this amount be designated by the Board of
Regents as an endowment account, with the earnings to be
used for a scholarship. The balance of \$153.63 will be
included with the income. Chancellor Humphrey recommended
approval.

Mrs. Fong moved approval. Motion seconded by Miss Mason,
carried without dissent.

36. Faculty Moving Allowance

Chancellor Humphrey noted that Board policy provides that a moving allowance may be paid to new faculty. The amount paid is \$125 plus five cents per mile for miles in excess of 1,000. The account now has a balance of \$687.25 and Chancellor Humphrey recommended a transfer of \$10,000 from the Board of Regents Special Projects Fund.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong, carried without dissent.

37. Purchase of Library Furniture, UNR

President Milam reported that bids were being opened as of the day of the meeting for Library furnishings for the Getchell Library addition, at an estimated cost of \$36,000. He requested that authority to award the bid be delegated to the UNR President and the Chancellor and that a report be presented to the Board in July.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Fong, carried without dissent.

Discussion resumed on Item 4, Solar Research Building

Chancellor Humphrey reported that even though the augmentation to the construction budget which the Board has agreed to provide is University money, the augmentation requires concurrence of the State Public Works Board and the Legislature's Interim Finance Committee. He pointed out that this approval must be obtained within 30 days to allow for the bid to be accepted. It was understood that should concurrence not be obtained, the project would be redesigned and rebid.

38. New Business

(1) Mrs. Knudtsen requested that Dr. Robert Mc Queen, Chairman of the UNR Scholarships and Prizes Board, be asked to provide for the Board a list of any scholarships which he can identify as having no recipients.

(2) Brenda Mason requested a feasibility study of the establishment of a Junior College of Nevada. Chancellor Humphrey noted that the Community College is a comprehensive institution, including what a Junior College was previously designed for but also including vocational, technical and occupational programs. He pointed out that the Community College Division in

Nevada combines both kinds of institutions.

Dr. La Grandeur suggested that Chancellor Humphrey and President Donnelly meet with Miss Mason to discuss her perception of a Junior College. Chairman Buchanan said that he would place the matter on a later agenda if Miss Mason so requested after discussing the matter with President Donnelly and Chancellor Humphrey.

- (3) Mrs. Fong spoke about the reduced fee which is available at UNLV for native Spanish-speaking students in Spanish Literature classes, and suggested this might be broadened to include other students who have language abilities. It was agreed that a recommendation would be brought back to the next meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 4:45 P.M.

Mrs. Bonnie M. Smotony

Secretary to the Board

06-18-1976